

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
SHERMAN DIVISION**

BRIDGETTE DAVIS,

Plaintiff,

V.

GLOBAL MEDIATION GROUP, LLC,  
HARTFORD CASUALTY INSURANCE  
COMPANY, JOHN AND JANE DOES 1 - 5,

Defendants.

§ § § § § § § § § §

CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:19-CV-00775-RWS

## ORDER

Plaintiff Bridgette Davis initiated this lawsuit on October 21, 2019. The Court referred this matter to the Honorable Christine A. Nowak, United States Magistrate Judge, for consideration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 and applicable orders of the Court. The Magistrate Judge has submitted a Report and Recommendation recommending that Plaintiff's claims against Defendant Hartford Casualty Insurance Company be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because Davis has failed to sustain her burden to show that Hartford has been properly served with suit and because no good cause exists to extend the time period for service any further. Docket No. 34.

The Court has considered the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge along with the record and pleadings. The Magistrate Judge issued her Report and Recommendation on July 26, 2020. Docket No. 34. No parties have filed objections to the Report and Recommendation. Accordingly, Davis is not entitled to *de novo* review by the District Judge of those findings, conclusions and recommendations, and except upon grounds of plain error, she

is barred from appellate review of the unobjected-to factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the District Court.

Nonetheless, the Court has reviewed the pleadings in this case and the Report of the Magistrate Judge and agrees with the Report of the Magistrate Judge. *See United States v. Raddatz*, 447 U.S. 667, 683 (1980) (“[T]he statute permits the district court to give to the magistrate’s proposed findings of fact and recommendations ‘such weight as [their] merit commands and the sound discretion of the judge warrants . . . .’”) (quoting *Mathews v. Weber*, 23 U.S. 261, 275 (1976)).

The Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge as the findings and conclusions of this Court. Accordingly, it is

**ORDERED** that Davis’s claims against Hartford are **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

**So ORDERED and SIGNED this 17th day of August, 2020.**

  
ROBERT W. SCHROEDER III  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE